

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/661,652  | 09/12/2003      | Richard T. Knadle JR. | 022.0008 (1630)     | 9349            |  |
| 29906 75  | 90 - 11/09/2005 |                       | EXAMINER            |                 |  |
| INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.                     |                 |                       | DINH, TRINH VO      |                 |  |
| 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325<br>SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 |                 |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|   | , 00-01         |                       | 2821                |                 |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   |  | <u>11</u> 1 |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |             |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/661,652  | KNADLE ET AL.  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |             |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Trinh Vo Dinh   | 2821   | _           |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>or Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   | ••          |  |  |  |  |
| WHI(<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Fail<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING<br>insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In<br>period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period<br>tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute<br>reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on RC   | E filed 10/27/05.   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | nis action is non-final.  |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| •   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-44 is/are pending in the  | e application.  |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠   | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-40,43 and 44</u> is/are allowed.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | or election requirement.  |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |  | •           |  |  |  |  |
| 9)  | The specification is objected to by the Examin   | ner.  | •  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad   |   | by the Examiner.   |             |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the I  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| _   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | n priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |             |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| * 5   | see the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | , ,,,   | received   |             |  |  |  |  |
|   | the attached detailed office action for a list   | st of the certified copies flot   | received.  |             |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview S  | Summary (PTO-413)  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inform   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date  |   | s)/Mail Date´.<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |             |  |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Tr  |  | o) □ Other:   | ·  |             |  |  |  |  |
| PTOL-326 (R   |  | Action Summary  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200  | 51107       |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2821

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a response to RCE filed 10/27/2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-9 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl et al (US 6,353,406 of record) in view of Huang (US 5,220,335 of record).

Respecting claim 41, Lanzl discloses a processing module (2300), a directional antenna (2312, 2314). However, Lanzl does not suggest the antenna being an antenna array having a driven element and a first parasitic element. Huang discloses an antenna array (10) having a driven element (12) and a first parasitic element (14) separated from said driven element, wherein at least one of said first parasitic element and said driven element have a width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Huang antenna array to Lanzl tag system in order to achieve highly directional antenna patterns and provide a low profile antenna as well.

With respect to claims 2-4, Huang discloses said width is greater than about four percent (4%) of said free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

With respect to claim 5, Huang discloses a second parasitic element (16) that is separated from said driven element (12), wherein said at least one of said first parasitic element, said

Art Unit: 2821

driven element and said second parasitic element has said width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

With respect to claim 6, Huang discloses a plurality of parasitic elements (16, 18) in addition to said first parasitic element (14).

With respect to claims 7-9, Huang discloses the first parasitic element (14) and a second parasitic element (16) being at least substantially in-plane elements (col. 3, lines 10-13), and the first parasitic element (14) being a reflector element and the second parasitic element (16) being a director element (col. 3, lines 10+).

Respecting claim 42, Lanzl discloses a portable/handheld device (col. 15, lines 19-25) being a RFID interrogator (col. 1, lines 25-30 and col. 3, lines 1-13).

3. Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Infanti (US 2002/0139822) in view of Huang (US 5,220,335 of record).

Infanti discloses, in paragraphs [0057]-[0058], a processing module (920), a directional antenna (916, 918) coupled to the processing module. However, Infanti does not suggest the antenna being an antenna array having a driven element and a first parasitic element. Huang discloses an antenna array (10) having a driven element (12) and a first parasitic element (14) separated from said driven element, wherein at least one of said first parasitic element and said driven element have a width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Huang antenna array to Infanti's handheld device in order to achieve highly directional antenna patterns and provide a low profile antenna as well.

Art Unit: 2821

4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of Woodard et al (US 2003/0125725 A1 of record).

With respect to claim 10 and 12, Huang and Lanzl disclose every feature of the claimed invention except the antenna elements being formed of a monolithic material as spring steel. Woodland discloses an antenna being formed of spring steel (paragraph [0091]). However, selecting a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious design choice. Therefore, choosing spring steel as a material for Huang' antenna elements has been deem obvious to one having skill in the art.

With respect to claim 11, choosing resistivity for a material has been well known in the art to achieve a desired radiating parameters such as providing optimum absorption of the emitted radiation (for Applicant's information, the teaching is found in US Patent 5,493,704, col. 2, lines 37-46). Therefore, selecting the resistivity for monolithic material as being greater than about 0.2x10<sup>-6</sup> ohms-meter would have been obvious to one having skill in the art.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of Chen et al (USP 6,809,699 of record).

Huang discloses substantially the claimed invention as noted above in claim 1. However, Huang does not suggest a plurality of apertures in the driven element and the parasitic element. Chen discloses, in Fig. 3, the antenna element (20, 30) having a plurality of apertures (60, col. 48-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huang's antenna elements with plurality of apertures as taught by Chen in order to reduce the electrical length of the antenna therefore improve the antenna's performances.

Art Unit: 2821

6. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanzl as modified by Huang, and further in view of MacDonald, Jr. et al (USP 6,061,036 of record).

Huang and Lanzl disclose every feature of the claimed invention except at least a portion of the antenna elements being covered with an elastomer. MacDonald discloses a driven element (18) and parasitic elements (26) being covered with elastomer layers (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to cover Huang's antenna elements with elastomer dielectric layers as taught by MacDonald. Doing so would provide the antenna elements with highly flexural characteristic so that the antenna elements can be bent without permanent deformation (as disclosed by MacDonald, col. 1, lines 54-67).

## **Allowed Subjected Matter**

- 7. Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 21-40 and 43-44 are presently allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The cited art of record fails to teach the balun structure comprising a dipole structure,
  a first feed point extending from said dipole structure, and a second feed point extending from
  said first parasitic element as defined in claims 17, 21 and 43.

### Inquiry

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2821

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821

Trinh Vo Dinh

November 07, 2005

rudwodent